

# Derandomisation

May 7, 2026

## Exercise 1.

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be a collection of subsets of  $[n]$  such that  $\sum_i 2^{1-|A_i|} < 1$ .

1. Prove that there exists a 2-colouring of  $[n]$  such that no set  $A_i$  is monochromatic.
2. Give a deterministic algorithm constructing such colouring in time polynomial in  $n + m$ .
3. Could we have used the method of small probability spaces?

## Solution 1.

1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  be independent uniform  $\{0, 1\}$  random variables. Let  $Y$  be the number of monochromatic set  $A_i$ . We have  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \sum_i 2^{1-|A_i|} < 1$  by linearity of expectation and mutual independence. Hence by Markov's inequality, there exists a colouring with no monochromatic set  $A_i$ .
2. We use the method of conditional expectation. For every  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_i \text{ is monochromatic} | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_j = x_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if there exists } a, b \in [j] \cap A_i \text{ such that } x_a = x_b \\ 2^{1-|A_i|} & \text{if } [j] \cap A_i = \emptyset \\ 2^{-r} & \text{if all } a \in [j] \cap A_i \text{ have equal colour } x_a \\ & \text{and } |A_i \setminus [j]| = r \end{cases}$$

This conditional probability can be computed in polynomial time, hence,  $\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_j = x_j]$  can be computed in polynomial time. As

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_j = x_j] &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_j = x_j, X_{j+1} = 1] + \mathbb{E}[Y | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_j = x_j, X_{j+1} = 0]}{2} \\ &\geq \min\{\mathbb{E}[Y | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_j = x_j, X_{j+1} = 1], \mathbb{E}[Y | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_j = x_j, X_{j+1} = 0]\} \end{aligned}$$

One can choose a value of  $X_{j+1}$  that keeps the conditional expectation of  $Y$  below 1.

3. Without any additional assumption on the maximum size of the set  $A_i$ , no.

## 1 Deterministic 1/2-approximations for the Maxcut problem

Recall from last exercise session that choosing a random uniform bipartition of a graph  $G$  results in a cut of expected weight  $|E(G)|/2$ , which gives a very simple 1/2-approximation for the MAXCUT problem. We will call it *the simple probabilistic 1/2-approximation*.

### Exercise 2. Conditional probabilities and greedy algorithm

1. Design a greedy deterministic algorithm for the MAXCUT problem. Show that this algorithm is a 1/2-approximation.
2. What is its running time?
3. Derandomise the simple probabilistic 1/2-approximation using the method of conditional probabilities.

4. Compare the two algorithms.

**Solution 2.**

1. We construct the cut  $A \sqcup B$  progressively. At the beginning,  $A = B = \emptyset$ . Consider an arbitrary order  $v_1 < \dots < v_n$  on the vertices. At step  $i$ , let  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  be the partial cut constructed so far. Put  $v_i$  in  $B_i$  if  $|N(v_i) \cap A_i| \geq |N(v_i) \cap B_i|$ , otherwise, put  $v_i$  in  $A_i$ .

For each vertex  $v_i$  let  $E_i$  be the set of edges  $v_i v_j$  with  $j < i$  (and hence  $v_j$  is already in one of the two parts of the cut when  $v_i$  is considered). The collection  $(E_i)_{i \in [n]}$  partitions  $E(G)$ . The weight of the cut constructed by this algorithm is  $\sum_{i \in [n]} \max(|N(v_i) \cap A_i|, |N(v_i) \cap B_i|) \geq \sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{|E_i|}{2} \geq \frac{|E(G)|}{2}$ . Obviously, the weight of a cut is at most the total number of edges, so this algorithm is a  $1/2$ -approximation.

2. This algorithm runs in time  $O(|E| + |V|)$  provided that  $G$  is encoded by lists of adjacencies.

3. For each vertex  $v_i$ , let  $X_i$  be the random  $\{-1, 1\}$  variable such that  $X_i = 1$  if  $v_i \in A$  and  $X_i = -1$  if  $v_i \in B$ . The weight  $W$  of the cut  $A \sqcup B$  is  $W = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1 - X_i X_j}{2}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}, X_i = 1] &= \\ & \sum_{\substack{v_j v_k \in E(G) \\ j, k < i}} \frac{1 - x_j x_k}{2} + \sum_{\substack{v_j v_k \in E(G) \\ j < i < k}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1 - x_j X_k}{2} \right] + \sum_{\substack{v_j v_k \in E(G) \\ i < j \leq k}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1 - X_j X_k}{2} \right] \\ & + \left( \sum_{\substack{v_j v_i \in E(G) \\ j < i}} \frac{1 - x_j}{2} + \sum_{\substack{v_j v_i \in E(G) \\ i < j}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1 - X_j}{2} \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[W | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}, X_i = 1] - \mathbb{E}[W | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}, X_i = -1] \\ &= \left( \sum_{\substack{v_j v_i \in E(G) \\ j < i}} \frac{1 - x_j}{2} + \sum_{\substack{v_j v_i \in E(G) \\ i < j}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1 - X_j}{2} \right] \right) - \left( \sum_{\substack{v_j v_i \in E(G) \\ j < i}} \frac{1 + x_j}{2} + \sum_{\substack{v_j v_i \in E(G) \\ i < j}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1 + X_j}{2} \right] \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{v_j v_i \in E(G) \\ j < i}} \frac{1 - x_j}{2} - \frac{1 + x_j}{2} = \sum_{\substack{v_j v_i \in E(G) \\ j < i}} -x_j = |N(v_i) \cap B_i| - |N(v_i) \cap A_i| \end{aligned}$$

This difference is positive if and only if  $v_i$  has more neighbours in  $B_i$  than  $A_i$ . In other words, the choice is the same as in the greedy algorithm from the first question.

**Exercise 3. Method of small probability space**

1. Derandomise the simple probabilistic algorithm using the method of small probability space.
2. Argue that the obtained algorithm belongs to the class  $NC$ .

### Solution 3.

1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a collection of random  $\{-1, 1\}$  variable, that are pairwise independent. Then, following the notation of the the previous exercise,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W] &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1 - X_i X_j}{2} \right] && \text{by linearity of expectation} \\ &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \mathbb{P}(X_i = -X_j) \\ &= \frac{|E(G)|}{2} && \text{by pairwise independence}\end{aligned}$$

There exists a (constructable) probability space  $\Omega$  of size  $O(n)$  with  $n$  pairwise independent random variable (by the theorem on small probability spaces from the lecture).

Hence, one can evaluate in parallel the value of  $W$  on each point of  $\Omega$ . By first moment method, there exists  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $W(x) \geq \frac{|E(G)|}{2}$ . The corresponding cut is determined by  $(X_i(x))_{i \in [n]}$ .

2. For each  $x \in \Omega$ , we use one processor to compute the value of  $\frac{1 - X_i X_j}{2}$  for each edge  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ . Then using  $O(|E(G)|)$  processors, we can sum these values in time  $\log(|E(G)|)$ : place the values of the leaves of a binary tree of depth  $\log(|E(G)|)$  and use an addition gate at every internal node of the tree. We used a  $O(n|E(G)|)$  processors and the computation runs in time  $O(\log(n))$ .

## 2 Congestion minimisation

Given a directed graph  $G$  and a sequence  $(s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k)$  of pairwise distinct vertices, a sequence of paths  $P_1, \dots, P_k$ , where  $P_i$  contains  $s_i$  and  $t_i$ , has congestion  $C$  if every arc of  $G$  is contained in at most  $C$  paths. Note that  $C = 1$  corresponds to the arc-disjoint path problem.

The Congestion minimisation problems asks to find a sequence of paths with minimal congestion.

### ★ Exercise 4.

1. Encode the congestion minimisation problem by an integer linear program, using one variable for each possible path between some  $s_i$  and  $t_i$ .
2. Relax this ILP into an LP.
3. (Optional) Argue that this LP can be solved in polynomial time, with a polynomial number of non-zero variables.
4. Show that by using fractional rounding, one obtains a  $O(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n})$ - approximation. To do so, use the following version of the Chernoff bound:  
Let  $X$  be a sum of independent random variables  $X_i$ , such that each  $X_i \in [0, 1]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \mu$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq (1 + \alpha)\mu) \leq \exp(-\mu((1 + \alpha) \ln(1 + \alpha) - \alpha)) \quad \forall \alpha > 0$$

5. (Optional) What pessimistic estimator could we use to derandomise this algorithm using the method of conditional probabilities?

Hint : Adapt the proof of the Chernoff bound.

Notations: For every  $i$ , denote  $\mathcal{P}_i$  the set of paths between  $s_i$  and  $t_i$ . For every path  $P$  between  $s_i$  and  $t_i$ , let  $X_P^i$  be the random binary variable indicating whether the path  $P$  is chosen by the randomised rounding. For every arc  $uv$ , let  $Y_{uv} = \sum_i \sum_{P \ni P_i} X_P^i$  the congestion of the arc  $uv$ .

★ **Solution 4.**

1. The idea is to consider one binary variable  $x_P^i$  for each path  $P$  in the collection  $\mathcal{P}_i$  of paths from  $s_i$  to  $t_i$ . This gives the following integer linear program:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{minimise} && C \\
 \text{subject to:} & \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_i} x_P^i = 1 && \text{for every } i \in [k] \\
 & \sum_{P \ni uv} x_P^i \leq C && \text{for every } uv \in E(G) \\
 & x_P^i \in \{0, 1\} && \text{for every } P
 \end{aligned}$$

2. We replace the last condition of the ILP by  $x_P^i \in [0, 1]$ .
3. By the strong duality theorem, the optimal solution of this LP is equal to the optimal solution of its dual. The dual program has a polynomial number of variables and an exponential number of constraints. Thus it can be solved in polynomial time, for example by using the ellipsoid method. The solution saturates a polynomial number of constraints, so the primal solution has a polynomial number of non-zero variables. Let  $(x_P^i)_{i \in [k], P \in \mathcal{P}_i}$  be a solution of the LP, with weight  $C^*$ .
4. For every  $i$ , for every  $P$ , we choose one of the paths  $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$  with probability  $x_P^i$ . Let  $X_P^i$  be the binary variable indicating whether the path  $P$  was chosen for  $(s_i, t_i)$ . For every arc  $uv$ , let  $Y_{uv}^i$  be the number of chosen paths  $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$  that use  $uv$  and let  $Y_{uv} = \sum_i Y_{uv}^i$ . The expectation of  $Y_{uv}$  is  $\mathbb{E}[Y_{uv}] = \sum_i \mathbb{E}[Y_{uv}^i] = \sum_{P \ni uv} x_P^i \leq C^*$  by linearity of expectation.

For every fixed arc  $uv$ , we can bound the probability that more than  $\lambda C^*$  paths use  $uv$  using the Chernoff bound. Taking  $\mu = C^* \geq \mathbb{E}[Y_{uv}]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(Y_{uv} > \lambda C^*) &\leq \exp(-C^*(\lambda \ln \lambda - (\lambda - 1))) \\
 &\leq \exp(-\lambda \ln \lambda + (\lambda - 1)) && \text{because } C^* \geq 1 \\
 &\leq \exp\left(-6 \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n} \cdot (\ln \ln n - \ln \ln \ln n) + 6 \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right) && \text{taking } \lambda := 6 \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n} \\
 &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{6 \ln n}{2}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking union bound on all the arcs of  $G$ , the rounding results with probability at least  $1 - 1/n$  in a collection of paths with congestion at most  $O\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right)C^*$ . In particular, the expected congestion is at most  $6 \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n} C^* (1 - 1/n) + k/n \leq 6 \frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n} C^* (1 - 1/n) + 1 = O\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right)C^*$ .