

Second moment method 2

1) Simple concentration Bound

Chernoff's Bound is limited to simple distributions. In practice it is often not enough.

Simple concentration Bound: Let $X = f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ where T_i are independent and such that

(*) Changing the outcome of one T_i affect X by at most c .

Then,
$$P(|X - E[X]| > t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2c^2n}}$$

\leadsto For $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ we get $\leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2n}}$ instead of $2e^{-\frac{t^2}{3np}}$

- which is very good for $p = \Theta(1)$ because S.C.B is much more general!

- For constant p , it is roughly the same.

- For $p = o(1)$ the simple concentration bound performs poorly:
ex: $p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$

C.B.
$$P(|X - np| > \frac{1}{2}np) \leq 2e^{-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{16}}$$

S.C.B
$$\leq 2e^{-1/16}$$

- We often need $P(|X - E[X]| > \alpha E[X]) \leq e^{-\beta E[X]}$
this works with S.C.B if $E[X] = \Omega(n)$.

2) Talagrand's inequality (1995)

When $E[X] = o(n)$, we rather use Talagrand's inequality:

Talagrand's inequality 1: Let $X = f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \geq 0$, such that there exist $c, n > 0$:

(i) Changing the outcome of one of the T_i affects X by at most c .

(ii) $\forall s$, if $X \geq s$, then there is a set of at most ns trials whose outcomes certify $X \geq s$.

Then for every $0 \leq t \leq \text{Med}(X)$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \text{Med}(X)| > t) \leq 4 e^{-\frac{t^2}{8c^2 n \text{Med}(X)}}$$

• Typically, c and n are small constants.

• Typically, $E[X]$ and $\text{Med}(X)$ will be close:

under the conditions of T1, $|\text{Med}(X) - E[X]| \leq 40c \sqrt{n E[X]}$

Talagrand's inequality 2: Under the same assumptions,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - E[X]| > t + 60c \sqrt{n E[X]}) \leq 4 e^{-\frac{t^2}{8c^2 n E[X]}}$$

• Typically, if c and n are small constants and $t \gg \sqrt{E[X]}$.

$$\text{Then, } \mathbb{P}(|X - E[X]| > t) \leq 2 e^{-\frac{\beta t^2}{E[X]}} \quad \forall \beta < \frac{1}{8c^2 n}$$

- For $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$, take $c = 2 = 1$
 \rightarrow TI gives $P(|X - np| > t) \leq 4e^{-\frac{t^2}{8np}}$ almost as good as C.B.

Example: Let G be a graph and H be a random subgraph of G :
 each edge of G is sampled independently with probability p .

$X = \#$ non-isolated vertices.

- \rightarrow Chernoff bound does not apply.
- $\rightarrow E[X] \leq |V(G)|$ but the number of trials can be $\Omega(n^2)$
 so S.C.B. performs poorly
- \rightarrow Taking $c=2$ and $a=1$, TI gives for every $t \gg \sqrt{|V(G)|}$
 $P(|X - E(X)| > t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{\beta t^2}{n}}$ for some $\beta > 0$.

3) Application: Erdős - Szekeres:

[Erdős - Szekeres 35] Any permutation of $[n]$ contains a monotone subsequence of length at least \sqrt{n} .

Let σ be a random uniform permutation of $[n]$. Let X be the length of the longest increasing sequence of σ .

we have $E[X] \approx 2\sqrt{n}$, but is X tightly concentrated?

[Frieze 1991] $P(|X - E[X]| < E[X]^{2/3}) \rightarrow 1$

(weaker than our usual $E[X]^{1/2}$).

[Talagrand 1995]: sample σ by sampling random reals in $[0,1]$ to have independence.

$$P(|X - E[X]| > t + 60 \sqrt{E[X]}) < 4 e^{-\frac{t^2}{8E[X]}}$$

Improved: [Baik, Deift, Johansson 1999] $\sqrt{E[X]} \rightarrow E[X]^{1/3}$

Exercise session?

3) Colouring triangle-free graphs:

Theorem: There exists some $\Delta_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. for every triangle-free graph G of maximum degree $\Delta > \Delta_0$, $\chi(G) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2e^6}\right) \Delta$

Proof: Let $C = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rfloor$, we can assume that G is Δ -regular.

1. **Assign** to each vertex a random uniform colour in $[C]$.
2. If u is assigned the same colour as one of its neighbours, we recolor u .
Otherwise u **retains** its colour.
3. One by one colour every vertex that did not retain its colour by a free colour (not used in its neighborhood).

Idea: After the first step, a good proportion of the vertices will have several neighbours that retained the same colour c , for many colour c .

For every vertex v , let X_v count the number of colours that are assigned to at least two neighbours of v and retained by all of them.

Let A_v be the event that $X_v \leq \frac{\Delta}{2e^6}$ and $E = \{A_v : v \in V(G)\}$

A_v depends only on the colour of the vertices within a ball of radius 2.

So A_v is mutually independent from $E \cdot \underbrace{\{A_u : \text{dist}(u, v) \leq 4\}}_{\# \leq \Delta^4}$

\leadsto we need $P(A_v) \leq \frac{1}{4\Delta^4}$

Let $X'_v = \#$ colors c such that c is assigned to exactly two neighbors of v and retained by both of them.

Let $Y_{v,c} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{\hspace{10em}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ ↗

$$\mathbb{E}[X_v] \geq \mathbb{E}[X'_v] \stackrel{\text{L.E.}}{=} \sum_{c \in [C]} \mathbb{E}[Y_{v,c}]$$

$Y_{v,c} = 1$ if $\exists u, w \in N(v) : \alpha(u) = \alpha(w) = c$
 $\forall z \in \underbrace{N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w) - \{u, v, w\}}_{\# \leq 3\Delta - 3 \leq 6C}, \alpha(z) \neq c.$

$$\# \leq 3\Delta - 3 \leq 6C.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_v] &\geq C \mathbb{E}[Y_{v,c}] \geq C \binom{\Delta}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{C}\right)^2 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{C}\right)^{6C} \\ &\geq C \frac{\Delta(\Delta-1)}{2C^2} \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{1}{C}} - \frac{1}{2C^2}\right)^{6C} e^{-2} < 1 - 2 + \frac{2^2}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{\Delta-1}{(\Delta-1)} \left(e^{-6} - \frac{e^{-\frac{5C-1}{C}} 6C}{2C^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{C^2}\right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\Delta-1}{e^6} \left(1 - \frac{3e}{C} + o\left(\frac{1}{C}\right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\Delta}{e^6} - 1 \quad \text{for } C \text{ large.} \end{aligned}$$

• Instead of proving the concentration of X_v , we work on two related variables.

Let $AT_v = \#$ colors assigned at least twice in $N(v)$

Let $\text{Del}_v = \#$ colours assigned at least twice in $N(v)$ but deleted at least once.

We have $X_v = AT_v - \text{Del}_v$.

$$1. P(|AT_v - E[AT_v]| > t) < 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\Delta}}$$

AT_v depends on the trials of the Δ neighbors of v .
Changing one of these trials affect AT_v by at most 1 so $c=1$
we take $c=1$ in the S.C.B

$$2. P(|\text{Del}_v - E[\text{Del}_v]| > t) < 4e^{-\frac{t^2}{100\Delta}} \quad \text{For } t \geq \sqrt{\Delta \log \Delta}$$

The value of Del_v depends on $O(\Delta^2)$ trials.
Changing one of these trials affect Del_v by at most 2 so $c=2$
 $E[\text{Del}_v] \leq \Delta = o(\Delta^2)$ so the S.C.B will perform poorly.

If $\text{Del}_v \geq s$, then there is a set of at most $3s$ trials that certify $\text{Del}_v \geq s$: for each such colour, two vertices in $N(v) + 1$ that deletes it.

$\leadsto r=3$.

We have $8c^2r = 96 < 100$ and $t = \theta(\Delta) \gg \sqrt{E[\text{Del}_v]}$ so
by TI,

$$P(|\text{Del}_v - E[\text{Del}_v]| > t) < 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{100E[\text{Del}_v]}}$$

$$< 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{100\Delta}}$$

$$3. X_v = AT_v - \text{Del}_v.$$

$$|X_v - E[X_v]| = |AT_v - E[AT_v] - (\text{Del}_v - E[\text{Del}_v])|$$

$$\leq |AT_v - E[AT_v]| + |\text{Del}_v - E[\text{Del}_v]|$$

$$\text{So } |X_r - \mathbb{E}[X_r]| > 2t \Rightarrow |AT_r - \mathbb{E}[AT_r]| > t \text{ or}$$

$$|Del_r - \mathbb{E}[Del_r]| > t$$

$$\text{So } \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \mathbb{P}\left(X_n \leq \frac{\Delta}{2e^6}\right) \\ \leq \mathbb{P}\left(|X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n]| > \frac{\Delta}{2e^6}\right)$$

$$< 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\Delta}} + 4e^{-\frac{t^2}{100\Delta}}$$

$$< 5e^{-\frac{\Delta}{C}}$$

$$< \frac{1}{4\Delta^4}$$

for Δ large enough. \square

$$\text{where } t = \frac{\Delta}{4e^6}$$

for some $C > 0$.

$t \approx \sqrt{\Delta \ln \Delta}$
would work.

4) Azuma's inequality (1967)

Azuma's inequality: Let $X = f(T_1, \dots, T_m)$ such that

(i) there exists c_1, \dots, c_m s.t. $\forall i, \forall t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, \forall t_{i+1}, \dots, t_m,$

$$|\mathbb{E}[X | T_1=t_1, \dots, T_i=t_i] - \mathbb{E}[X | T_1=t_1, \dots, T_i=t'_i]| \leq c_i$$

then $\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| > t) \leq 2 e^{-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum c_i^2}}$

Example: $m+1$ tosses of a coin and X count the number of coin tosses equal to the first one.

Observation: - Azuma is a "Martingale inequality".

- In the S.C.B., we first get all the random trial and then analyse how the modification of any of them affects X . here this modification is performed in the middle of the random experiment. So Azuma generalises S.C.B.

- Azuma can be applied to sequences of dependent random trials, so its much more general!

- In the S.C.B. the bound c is uniform here we bound by a function of c_1, \dots, c_m , which often gives stronger results.

Bollobás 1988: $\chi(G(n, \frac{1}{2})) \sim \frac{n}{2 \log_2 n}$ almost always.

We already know that $\alpha(G(n, \frac{1}{2})) \sim 2 \log_2 n$ almost always

so $\chi(G(n, \frac{1}{2})) \geq \frac{n}{\alpha(G(n, \frac{1}{2}))} \gtrsim \frac{n}{2 \log_2 n}$ almost always

The reverse inequality was an open question for 25 years.

We recall that the expected number of k -cliques in $G(n, \frac{1}{2})$ is

$$f(n, k) = \binom{n}{k} 2^{-\binom{k}{2}}. \text{ Let } k \sim 2 \log_2 n \text{ s.t. } f(n, k) > n^{3+o(1)}$$

Proof Lemma: $P(\omega(G) < k) < e^{-(c+o(1)) \frac{n^2}{\ln^8 n}}$

Proof: Consider an arbitrary ordering of the edges. Let $m = \binom{n}{2} \sim \frac{n^2}{2}$

Let G_0 be the empty graph on n vertices and

$$G_{i+1} = \begin{cases} G_i \cup e_{i+1} & \text{with probability } 1/2 \\ G_i & \end{cases}$$

Let Y_i be the number of edge-disjoint k -cliques in G_i

→ Modifying one Y_i affects the subsequent Y_j by at most 1.

→ $\mathbb{E}[Y_m] \geq (1+o(1)) \frac{n^2}{2k^4}$ (We omit this).

So by Azuma,

$$P(\omega(G(n, \frac{1}{2})) < k) = P(Y_m = 0)$$

$$\leq P(|Y_m - \mathbb{E}[Y_m]| \geq \mathbb{E}[Y_m])$$

$$\leq e^{-\frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_m]^2}{2m}}$$

$$\leq e^{-(c+o(1)) \frac{n^2}{4k^8}}$$

$$\leq e^{-(c+o(1)) \frac{n^2}{\ln^8(n)}}$$

Let $l = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\ln^2(n)} \right\rfloor$. For every set S of l vertices,

$G(n, \frac{1}{2})|_S$ has the distribution of $G(l, \frac{1}{2})$.

Let k such that $f(l, k) > n^{3+o(n)}$ and $k \sim 2 \log_2 l \sim 2 \log_2 n$

$$\mathbb{P}(\alpha(G|_S) < k \text{ for some } k\text{-sets}) \leq \binom{n}{m} e^{-(c+o(n)) \frac{l^2}{\ln^2(l)}}$$

$$< 2^n e^{-(1+o(n)) l^{3/2}}$$

$$\leq 2^{l^{1+o(n)}} e^{-(1+o(n)) l^{3/2}}$$

$$= o(1)$$

So almost every l vertices contain a k -element independent set.

If G has this property, pick inductively k -element independent set

until there are l vertices left. Use 1 colour for each

independent set and 1 for every remaining vertex.

$$\chi(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n-l}{k} \right\rceil + l$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{k} + l$$

$$= \frac{n}{2 \log_2 n} (1+o(n)) + o\left(\frac{n}{\log_2 n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{n}{2 \log_2 n} (1+o(n)) \quad \blacksquare$$

Note: We could have used Talagrand, but it didn't exist then.