

I/Introduction:

Modalities: Attendance to exercise session is mandatory.

- 50% final exam with "cheat sheet"

- 25% Exercises

- 25% mid-term test

1) History:

Pioneered by Paul Erdős in the 50's.

Counter-example to many conjectures in conferences

Pros and cons of the probabilistic method:

• Allows to consider **large unstructured** graphs

→ graphs untractable by computer search

→ Any explicit construction introduces structure

ex: most graphs have $w(G) = O(\log n) = \alpha(G)$
it took a long time to explicit anything better than $o(\sqrt{n})$.

• Easy to use

→ ex: average number of cliques of size k :

$$P(S \text{ is a clique}) = 2^{-\binom{k}{2}}$$
$$E[\# \text{ clique of size } k] = \sum_{|S|=k} 2^{-\binom{k}{2}} = \binom{n}{k} 2^{-\binom{k}{2}}$$

linearity of expectation

(Maybe do this later).

• Most graphs are counterexamples

• Non constructive

II/First moment method

First moment Principle: If $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq t$ then $\mathbb{P}(X \leq t) > 0$

Linearity of Expectation $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}[x_i]$

Markov's Inequality $\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{t}$

The linearity of Expectation allows to estimate $\mathbb{E}[X]$ easily, often by double counting.

Markov's Inequality is particularly useful if X is integer-valued and $\mathbb{E}[X] < 1$, we then have

$$0 < \mathbb{P}(X=0) \quad \text{But} \quad \mathbb{P}(X=0) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(X \geq 1) \stackrel{\text{MI}}{\geq} 1 - \mathbb{E}[X]$$

General idea: count the number X of witnesses of P and show $\mathbb{E}[X] < 1$.

1) 2-colouring of Hypergraphs

Felix Bernstein 1908

Definition of **hypergraph**, **colouring**, **uniform**, **property B** \rightarrow

[Erdős 63] If \mathcal{H} has less than 2^{k-1} hyperedges, each of size at least k , then \mathcal{H} is 2-colourable!

Proof: Random 2-colouring.

$$X_e = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \text{ is monochromatic} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$X = \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{H})} X_e$$

$$\forall e, \quad \mathbb{E}[X_e] = \mathbb{P}(e \text{ is monochromatic}) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-k} \leq 2^{-(k-1)}$$

$$\text{So } \mathbb{E}[X] \stackrel{\text{LE}}{=} \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{H})} \mathbb{E}[X_e] \leq |E(\mathcal{H})| \cdot 2^{-(k-1)} < 1.$$

so $\mathbb{P}(X=0) > 0$ \blacksquare
FM

Alternative proof: (without First moment)

$A_e = \{e \text{ is monochromatic}\}$.

$$P\left(\bigcap_{e \in E(\mathcal{H})} \bar{A}_e\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{e \in E(\mathcal{H})} A_e\right)$$

$$P\left(\bigcup_{e \in E(\mathcal{H})} A_e\right) \stackrel{\text{subadditivity}}{\leq} \sum_e P(A_e)$$

$$\leq |E(\mathcal{H})| 2^{-(k-1)} < 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Same calculations, and subadditivity is a special case of FM

$m(k) = \min \{ |E(\mathcal{H})| : \mathcal{H} \text{ } k\text{-uniform not } 2\text{-colorable} \}$.

We proved [Erdős 63] $m(k) \geq 2^{k-1}$

[Radhakrishnan & Srinivasan 2000] $m(k) \geq \Omega\left(\left(\frac{k}{\ln(k)}\right)^{1/2} 2^k\right)$

[Erdős 64] $m(k) < (1+o(1)) \frac{e \ln(2)}{4} k^2 2^k$

(Give them as guided hand exercises).

2) Diagonal Ramsey numbers

Ramsey number $R(s, t) = \min \{ n : \text{any 2-coloring of } K_n \text{ has } \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{a blue } K_s \text{ or red } K_t \end{array} \right\}$

[Erdős 47] If $\binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1$, then $R(k, k) > n$. So

$$R(k, k) > \lfloor 2^{k/2} \rfloor \quad \forall k \geq 3.$$

Proof: Consider a random 2-coloring of K_n .

For every $S \subseteq V(K_n)$ of size k ,

$$X_S = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S \text{ is monochromatic} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } X = \sum X_S$$

$$P(S \text{ is monochromatic}) = \mathbb{E}[X_S] = 2 \cdot 2^{-\binom{k}{2}} = 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1, \text{ so } P(X=0) > 0 \quad \text{F.M.}$$

There is a 2-coloring with no monochromatic clique of size k
so $R(k, k) > n$.

For $k \geq 3$, take $n = \lfloor 2^{k/2} \rfloor$

$$\binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < \frac{n^k}{k!} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < \frac{n^k}{k!} \frac{2^{k/2+n}}{2^{k^2/2}} < \frac{2}{k!} < 1$$

■

3) Triangle-free graphs with high χ

Sometimes we need to start with a random object and modify it slightly

[Erdős 59] $\forall k$, there exists a Δ -free graph with $\chi(G) \geq \Delta$

Proof $G \sim G_{m,p}$ where $p = m^{-2/3}$

Sketch: 1. G has no large independent set $P(\alpha < \frac{m}{2k}) > 0$ $\chi > \frac{m}{\alpha}$
 2. G has not too many triangles.
 3. Delete the triangles

1. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ of size $\lfloor \frac{m}{2k} \rfloor$

$I_S = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S \text{ is a stable set} \\ 0 & \text{if } S \text{ induces an edge} \end{cases}$

$$I = \sum_{|S| = \lfloor \frac{m}{2k} \rfloor} I_S$$

$$\mathbb{E}[I_S] = P(S \text{ is a stable set}) = (1-p)^{\binom{\lfloor \frac{m}{2k} \rfloor}{2}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[I] = \sum_{|S| = \lfloor \frac{m}{2k} \rfloor} \mathbb{E}[I_S] = \binom{m}{\lfloor \frac{m}{2k} \rfloor} (1-p)^{\binom{\lfloor \frac{m}{2k} \rfloor}{2}}$$

$$\binom{m}{k} \leq 2^m$$

$$(1+x) \leq e^x$$

$$\leq 2^m \cdot (e^{-p})^{\frac{m(m-2k)}{2 \cdot 4k^2}}$$

$$\leq 2^m \cdot e^{-m^{-2/3} \frac{m^2}{16k^2}}$$

for n large enough.

$$\leq 2^m \cdot e^{-\frac{m^{4/3}}{16k^2}}$$

$\rightarrow 0$
 $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$< \frac{1}{2}$$

for n large enough

So $P(I > 0) < \frac{1}{nI/2}$ for n large enough

$\leadsto G$ has no large independent set so χ must be big!

2. Unfortunately, G has triangles BUT not many of them

$T = \#$ triangles.

$$E[T] = \binom{n}{3} p^3$$

$$\leq \frac{n^3}{3!} \left(n^{-2/3}\right)^3 = \frac{n}{6}$$

$$\text{So } P\left(T \geq \frac{n}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{3}$$

3. Since $P\left(T \geq \frac{n}{2}\right) + P(I > 0) < 1$,

$$P\left(T < \frac{n}{2} \text{ and } I = 0\right) > 0.$$

We choose a set of $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices, hitting all triangles. Removing it gives G' , and cannot increase α .

$$\text{so } \chi(G') \geq \frac{|V(G')|}{\alpha(G')} > \frac{n}{2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2k} \rfloor} \geq k. \quad \blacksquare$$

In fact there exist graphs with arbitrarily large χ and girth

\leadsto locally looks like a tree but high χ .

4) Erdős-Ko-Rado

\mathcal{F} is **intersecting** if $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}, A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

[Erdős-Ko-Rado 38] Let \mathcal{F} be an intersecting family of k -element subsets of $[n]$, with $n > 2k$. Then,

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

Proof by **Katona 1972**

Lemma For $s \in [n]$, let $A_s = \{s, s+1, \dots, s+k-1\} \pmod n$.
Then \mathcal{F} contains at most k of the sets A_s .

Proof Fix $A_s \in \mathcal{F}$. $A_t \cap A_s \neq \emptyset \iff |t-s| \leq k-1$

The set of A_t intersecting A_s can be partitioned into $k-1$ pairs (A_{s-i}, A_{s+k-i}) .
 \mathcal{F} contains at most one element of each pair. \square

Let σ be a random uniform permutation of $[n]$,
 i be a random uniform element of $[n]$.

Let $A = \{\sigma(i), \sigma(i+1), \dots, \sigma(i+k-1)\}$.

$$\mathbb{P}(A \in \mathcal{F} \mid \sigma \text{ fixed}) = \mathbb{P}(A_i \in \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \mid \sigma \text{ fixed})$$

$$\leq \frac{k}{n} \text{ by the lemma}$$

$$\text{so } \mathbb{P}(A \in \mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{k}{n}.$$

$$X_A = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{F} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \sum_A X_A.$$

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} E[X_A]$$

$$\text{So } |\mathcal{F}| = \binom{n}{k} P(A \in \mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} \quad \square$$

5) Dominating set

Theorem: Let G be an n -vertex graph with minimum degree $\delta > 1$. Then G has a dominating set of size at most

$$n \frac{1 + \ln(\delta + 1)}{\delta + 1} \text{ vertices}$$

Proof: Let $p \in [0, 1]$ to be adjusted later.

Let S be a random subset of vertices, where $v \in S$ with probability p , independently.

Let $X = V(G) - N(S)$.

$\mathbb{E}[S] = np$ by linearity of expectation.

$$\forall v \in V(G), \mathbb{P}(v \in X) = (1-p)^{|N(v)+1|} \leq (1-p)^{\delta+1}.$$

$$\text{So } \mathbb{E}[|X|] \leq n(1-p)^{\delta+1}.$$

$$\text{Hence } \mathbb{E}[|S| + |X|] = n(1-p)^{\delta+1} + np \leq n(e^{-p(\delta+1)} + p)$$

We now optimize p

$$\text{Let } f: p \mapsto n(e^{-p(\delta+1)} + p). \quad f'(p) = -(\delta+1)e^{-p(\delta+1)} + 1$$

$$f'(p) = 0 \iff e^{-p(\delta+1)} = \frac{1}{\delta+1}$$

$$\iff p = \frac{\ln(\delta+1)}{\delta+1}.$$

$$\text{By substituting, } \mathbb{E}[|S| + |X|] \leq n \frac{1 + \ln(\delta+1)}{\delta+1}$$

So $\mathbb{P}(|S| + |X| \leq \text{---}) > 0$ \square

6) Better bound on Ramsey number

Previously we proved $R(k, k) > \lfloor 2^{k/2} \rfloor$

Actually a more careful analysis would have given

$$R(k, k) > \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}} (1+o(1)) k 2^{k/2}$$

Theorem For all n , $R(k, k) > n - \binom{n}{k} 2^{1 - \binom{k}{2}}$

$$\text{Hence, } R(k, k) > \frac{1}{e} (1+o(1)) k 2^{k/2}$$

Proof: 1. Consider a random uniform edge coloring of K_n .

$X = \#$ monochromatic digraphs of size k

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \binom{n}{k} 2^{1 - \binom{k}{2}} = m.$$

By F.M.M., there exists a coloring with at most m monochrome digraphs.

We delete one vertex in each of these digraphs.

2. Maximise $n - \binom{n}{k} 2^{1 - \binom{k}{2}}$

$$\text{Taking } n \sim \frac{1}{e} (1+o(1)) k 2^{k/2},$$

we have $\binom{n}{k} 2^{1 - \binom{k}{2}} \ll n$ so $R(k, k) > \frac{(1+o(1)) k 2^{k/2}}{e}$